Child and Mother Play in Three U.S. Cultural Groups: Comparisons and Associations
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Child and mother play ($n = 113$ 20-month-olds) among South American Latino immigrants, Japanese immigrants, and European Americans in the United States was investigated. Culturally universal patterns of play dominated the findings. For example, no cultural differences in the prevalence of exploratory or symbolic play were found for either children or their mothers. Regardless of their culture, boys engaged in significantly more exploratory and less symbolic play than did girls when they played by themselves. Few relations were found between child play in the two play sessions. Across cultural groups, children's exploratory play was significantly positively related to both maternal demonstrations and solicitations of exploratory play. The results identify which realms of child growth, parenting, and family function call for special attention and cultural sensitivity, as well as which do not, in the dynamics of immigrant families.
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Observation of mothers and children engaged in universal, developmentally appropriate activities can help to reveal cultural influences on children’s development (Rogoff, 2003). Play constitutes such an activity during the 2nd year of life. Cross-cultural research on children’s play has highlighted the importance of culture-specific practices in structuring and organizing the environment in which children's social interactions and play activities take place (see Bornstein, Haynes, Pascual, Painter, & Galperin, 1999; Farver, 1999; Göncü, Tuerman, Jain, & Johnson, 1999; Haight, Wang, Fung, Williams, & Mintz, 1999).

During the 2nd year of life, children’s play normatively moves from being exploratory and object oriented to being symbolic and pretense oriented. During the sensorimotor period, children’s initial interactions with objects are exploratory in nature, and their schemas characterize objects (e.g., a ball) as something simply to be physically manipulated (Piaget, 1951/1962). However, as children begin to engage in symbolic play, they use familiar objects in new ways, for example, by pretending that a ball is an orange that they are eating. Although there are individual differences in play development and related cognitive abilities, across cultures achieving representational competence is one of the principal developmental tasks of the 2nd year of life (Göncü & Gaskins, 2007; Haight et al., 1999; Piaget, 1951/1962). However, cultural variation in play is also evident (Bornstein, 2007). Immigrant families may find culture-specific aspects of play in their culture of destination particularly challenging or perplexing during the process of acculturation, and culture-specific aspects of play from the immigrants’ culture of origin may be interpreted by clinicians, teachers, or others as problematic simply because they differ from those of the culture of destination.

The Importance of Studying Immigrant Children’s Play

The study of immigrant children’s play is important for a variety of reasons. First, immigration is a central social and psychological concern today. For example, nearly 25% of children under the age of 18 in the United States are either immigrants themselves or the children of immigrants (Hernandez, Denton, & Macartney, 2008), and the International Organization for Migration (n.d.) has estimated that approximately 192 million people currently live outside the country of their birth or citizenship. Immigration is also a trans-
formational force in parents and children, affecting all aspects of their lives. For its part, play is a basic developmental milestone in child development and a key venue of early parent–child relationships. Finally, the achievement and sophistication of children’s pretend play has been linked to a variety of cognitive and social outcomes. Because pretend play is one manifestation of the development of symbolic thought (Piaget, 1951/1962; Vygotsky, 1967), it is related to children’s representational competence, including language development (e.g., vocabulary, linguistic complexity, semantic diversity, and verbal fluency; e.g., Tamis-LeMonda & Bornstein, 1990). Language development, of course, is one area of particular concern for immigrant children because many are being reared in bilingual homes and thus have the added challenge of mastering two languages before schooling (Hernandez et al., 2008). In the social domain, pretend play fosters children’s perspective-taking ability, including their ability to understand the thoughts, feelings, and intentions of others (Rubin & Howe, 1985; Youngblade & Dunn, 1995). Such interpersonal understanding is critical to success in interpersonal relationships, including those with peers, which become increasingly important for all children as they enter social groups.

Rationale for Selection of Cultural Groups

We chose for this study mother–child dyads from three cultural backgrounds that shared commonalities as well as dissimilarities with respect to general child-rearing goals and views about children’s play. Specifically, European American mothers socialize their children to be independent and autonomous (Harkness, Super, & Keefer, 1992; Harwood, Leyendecker, Carlson, Asencio, & Miller, 2002; Richman, Miller, & Solomon, 1988), and one way they do so is by engaging in object-directed activities, such as exploratory play, that allow their children to interact with and gain control over the physical environment (Bornstein, Azuma, Tamis-LeMonda, & Ogino, 1990). In contrast, a major socialization goal of Latino families is for children to learn to act appropriately and respectfully toward other people to develop properly (become bien educado, or well educated; Harwood et al., 2002) rather than asserting their own wants and needs. Similarly, in Japan infants and young children are encouraged to interact with other people to develop properly (Caudill & Weinstein, 1969), relate to others empathically (omoiyari; Lebra, 1994), and interact with others appropriately (DeVos, 1993; Morelli & Rothbaum, 2007; Shand, 1985). In both Latin America and Japan, socialization to develop interpersonal skills is embodied in person–rather than object-directed interactions (Bornstein, Haynes, et al., 1999; Caudill & Weinstein, 1969; Valenzuela, 1997), including engaging in pretend play and role play with others.

Consistent with these cultural differences in child-rearing goals, previous researchers have reported cultural differences in play between these cultural groups. For example, European American children engage in more exploratory or object-directed play (both relative to symbolic play and in comparison with children in Argentina and Japan), whereas demographically similar children in both Argentina and Japan engage in more symbolic, person-directed play (see Bornstein, Haynes, et al., 1999; Tamis-LeMonda, Bornstein, Cyphers, Toda, & Ogino, 1992). Although comparisons of play among immigrant families are scarce, research has suggested that the play behaviors of Latino immigrants from South America and those of Japanese immigrants tend to differ from those of mothers and children in their respective cultures of origin and appear to be similar to European American mothers and children (Cote & Bornstein, 2005). No research has yet compared the play of Latin American immigrants from South America with that of Japanese American immigrants from Japan, as we do here.

Relations Between Child and Mother Play

In addition to exploring intracultural differences in the mean levels of exploratory and symbolic play, we also investigated relations between child and mother play in each group. Because play is an activity through which parents socialize their children in the norms and values of their culture (Tamis-LeMonda, Katz, & Bornstein, 2002), we expected similarities in relations between mother and child play. Relations between children’s and mothers’ exploratory play and children’s and mothers’ symbolic play have been found among mother–child dyads in Japan, Argentina, and European Americans in the United States (Bornstein, Haynes, et al., 1999; Tamis-LeMonda et al., 1992). On the basis of these findings, and because play is an activity through which cultural values are transmitted from mother to child (Tamis-LeMonda et al., 2002), we hypothesized that similar child–mother play would be positively related in all three U.S. cultural samples.

Cultural Differences in the Initiation of Child Play and Modality of Mothers’ Encouragement of Play

When European American mothers encourage children’s play by demonstrating or soliciting play, children’s play is more sophisticated than when children play alone or when children initiate play with their mothers (O’Connell & Bretherton, 1984; O’Reilly & Bornstein, 1993; Slade, 1987). However, cross-cultural differences characterize the extent to which children versus mothers initiate play (Haight et al., 1999). Specifically, European American mothers have been observed to follow their children’s lead and interests, which is consistent with European American mothers’ child-rearing goal of fostering their children’s autonomy (Harkness et al., 1992; Harwood et al., 2002; Richman et al., 1988) rather than dictating the educational agenda (Farver, 1999). Thus, we predicted that European American children would be more likely to initiate play interactions during the joint play session than would their mothers (i.e., European American children would engage in more child-directed than mother-directed play during joint play). In contrast, Latino parents in South America believe that caregivers need to direct children’s behavior if they are to learn obedience and become bien educado (Bornstein,
Haynes, et al., 1999; Valenzuela, 1997) and believe that they are assisting children’s development when they direct their children’s actions. Consistent with these child-rearing goals, Latino parents in South America have been observed to direct interactions with their children (Halgunseth, Ispa, & Rudy, 2006; Harwood et al., 2002). Previous research comparing European American and Japanese (sojourner) mothers’ interactions with their children in a shape-fitting task showed that Japanese mothers were more likely than European American mothers to change their children’s focus of attention and keep them on task than were European American mothers, which is consistent with the Japanese child-rearing goal of fostering interdependence in the mother–child dyad (DeVos, 1993; Morelli & Rothbaum, 2007; Shand, 1985). Thus, we predicted that both Latino immigrant mothers and Japanese adult mothers would be more likely to initiate play interactions during the joint play session than would their children (i.e., children would engage in more mother-directed than child-directed play during joint play).

In addition to these within-culture differences in terms of whether mothers or children take the lead in play interactions, we hypothesized cultural differences with respect to play initiation. Specifically, because mothers in Japan view the mother–child relationship as reciprocal and prize empathy over directiveness (Bornstein et al., 1992), we hypothesized that Japanese immigrant and European American children might engage in more child-directed and less mother-directed play than would Latino immigrant children.

Because cultural differences in the emphasis on adult verbal and nonverbal behaviors have been reported, we coded mothers’ play as (physical, nonverbal) demonstrations or (verbal) solicitations. Specifically, U.S. American and Latino adults value verbal assertiveness, and Japanese adults value verbal restraint (Caudill & Frost, 1972). Indeed, previous research with Americans of Latino and Japanese ethnicity has reported that Japanese American mothers speak less to their children than do South American Latinos (Bornstein & Cote, 2001). Thus, we hypothesized that Latino immigrant mothers would engage in more play solicitations and fewer play demonstrations than would Japanese immigrant mothers. These differences in mothering styles would also have clinical implications for understanding differential dynamics of interactions in immigrant families of different cultures of origin.

This is a follow-up study to Cote and Bornstein (2005), in which play among child–mother dyads in Argentina, Latino immigrants to the United States, and European Americans was compared, and play among child–mother dyads in Japan, Japanese American immigrants to the United States, and European Americans was compared. In that study, we found no differences between European Americans and either Latino immigrants or Japanese immigrants; however, the two immigrant samples were not compared with each other. Thus, this study extends previous work by (a) comparing Latino and Japanese immigrants’ play, (b) analyzing relations between child play in two play situations (solitary and joint play), and (c) analyzing relations between child and mother play when engaged in joint play.

Method

Participants

One hundred thirteen 20-month-olds (ranging from 18.89 to 22.51 months) and their mothers from three U.S. American cultural groups participated: South American Latino immigrants (n = 37), Japanese immigrants (n = 36), and European Americans (n = 40). All children were firstborn, healthy, and term, and approximately equal numbers of girls and boys participated. All mothers were married to their baby’s father, and the families were middle class. As can be seen in Table 1, participating families were demographically similar to each other, regardless of cultural background.

Participants were from a large East Coast U.S. metropolitan area and are representative of middle-class mothers in their particular cultural group in that area (U.S. Census Bureau, 2001). Families were recruited by means of a variety of methods common to research with young children who are not yet school age (i.e., they were recruited from hospital birth notifications, patient lists of medical groups, 

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sociodemographic Characteristics of the Participants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Participant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (days)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Nuclear family (%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Sample sizes for some analyses are smaller because of missing data.

*a* Latino immigrant children were older than Japanese immigrant or European American children.  
*b* Because differences exist between countries in the duration, quality, and content of schooling, bicultural researchers adjusted mothers’ years of schooling so that the scales were equivalent to the 7-point Hollingshead (1975) index.
newspaper birth announcements, and advertisements in
newspapers, but primarily from mass mailings). Before the
conduct of these studies, parents of all participants were
informed about the study and provided written consent.
Treatment of human participants complied with the ethical
standards defined by the American Psychological Associa-
tion and was subject to oversight by the National Institute
of Child Health and Human Development Institutional Review
Board.

Immigrant mothers participating in this study self-
identified as South American or Japanese American; this is
an important methodological issue for research with ethnic
minorities (Marín & Marín, 1991). Both sets of mothers
were immigrants (using Hernandez et al.’s [2008] definition
of immigrants). South American immigrant mothers’ first
language was Spanish, and they were primarily from Ar-
gentina, Colombia, and Peru. (In areas of the United States
that lack a large concentration of a single Latino group,
immigrants tend to identify themselves by their regional
affiliation rather than by their country of origin; see Winn,
1992. Furthermore, empirically there were no differences
within the South American immigrant group on any depend-
ent variables.) Japanese immigrant mothers’ first language
was Japanese. Latino immigrant and Japanese immigrant
mothers were bicultural (as indicated on the 5-point South
American Acculturation Scale or Japanese American Ac-
culturation Scale; Bornstein & Cote, 2001): Latino immi-
grant, \(M = 2.36 (SD = 0.43)\), and Japanese immigrant, \(M =
2.20 (SD = 0.66)\), \(t(69) = 1.22, ns\). They were either first-
or second-generation Americans (33:4 and 33:3, respect-
ively), \(\chi^2(1, N = 73) = 0.13, ns\), and they had lived in
the United States for a mean of 10.37 years (\(SD = 6.75\)) and
7.54 years (\(SD = 3.35\), \(t(35.69) = 1.93, ns\), respectively.
European American participants were either fourth or fifth
generation (i.e., most or all grandparents were born in the
United States).

Procedures

Children and mothers were visited in their homes when
the children were 20 months of age. Visits were scheduled
at a time when the children were awake and alert, and no
one was present except the child, mother, and observer. A
standard set of toys that allowed for a variety of different
play behaviors was placed on the floor in front of the child.
After a 20- to 30-min acclimation period (McCune-Nicolich
& Fenson, 1984), the child was videorecorded playing alone
for 10 min and then the child and mother were videore-
corded playing together for 10 min. Pilot testing undertaken
before data collection ensured that the observational context
was ethnographically valid (van de Vijver & Leung, 1997).
The toy set (i.e., doll, blanket, tea set, telephone, train, two
picture books, foam rubber ball, and nesting barrels) was
chosen because it is both ethnographically valid and allows
for a variety of different play behaviors (including explor-
atory and symbolic play; McCune-Nicolich & Fenson,
1984). Mothers also completed a demographic question-
naire.

Play Coding

Child and mother exploratory and symbolic play were
coded using a mutually exclusive and exhaustive coding
system derived from research on the early development of
play (Bornstein & O’Reilly, 1993). The frequency and
duration of the following levels of play were recorded: 0 =
default (no play); 1 = unitary functional activity (e.g., throw
the ball); 2 = inappropriate combinational activity (e.g., put
the ball into a teacup); 3 = appropriate combinational
activity (e.g., put the cup on the saucer); 4 = transitional
play (e.g., put the telephone to the ear without speaking);
5 = self-directed pretense (e.g., drink from a cup); 6 =
other-directed pretense (e.g., pretend to feed the doll); 7 =
sequential pretense (e.g., dial and speak into the telephone);
and 8 = substitution pretense (e.g., pretend the block is a
telephone receiver and speak into it). Children’s play in the
mother–child joint play session was also coded as either
child initiated or mother initiated. Mothers’ play demonstra-
tions (i.e., modeling an action for the child; e.g., pouring
from the tea kettle into a teacup) and solicitations (i.e.,
verbally encouraging the child to participate in a specific
play activity; e.g., suggesting that the child pour tea from
the kettle into a teacup) were coded separately. Play was
coded continuously by noting the start time and play level,
and play at a given level continued until there was a 10-s or
longer break or the player touched another toy when the end
time was noted (to 1 s). Minimum play time was set to 1 s.

Coders were unaware of the hypotheses and were trained
to reliability (\(k_s \geq .60\); intraclass correlation [ICC] \(\geq .80\))
on a standard set of videorecordings before they were al-
lowed to code the videorecordings for this study. Addition-
ally, interrater reliability was checked every 10 videorecord-
ings to guard against coding drift. Interrater agreement was
computed separately for each cultural group using kappa for
behaviors and ICC for frequency of maternal verbal solic-
itations based on approximately 15% of the videorecord-
ings. Child exploratory play, \(k_s \geq .77\); child symbolic play,
\(k_s \geq .76\); initiation of child play, \(k_s \geq .67\); mother explor-
atory play demonstrations, \(k_s \geq .75\); mother symbolic play
demonstrations, \(k_s \geq .71\); mother exploratory play solici-
tations, ICCs \(\geq .89\); and mother symbolic play solicitations,
ICCs \(\geq .92\). Play reliability was not systematically related
to cultural group.

Computing the Dependent Variables

Frequency and duration scores for the eight play levels
were aggregated into exploratory (sum of Levels 1–4) and
symbolic (sum of Levels 5–8) play scores. Four indices of
play were computed, separately for play session (solitary,
joint), separately for play partner (child, mother), for who
initiated child play in the joint play session (child, mother),
and for exploratory and symbolic: raw frequency of play
bouts, the proportion of play bouts, raw duration of play,
and the proportion of duration of play. These four indices
(raw frequency, proportional frequency, raw duration, and
proportional duration) have consistently been found to be
positively and significantly correlated, including with the
current samples (e.g., Bornstein, Haynes, Legler, O’Reilly, & Painter, 1997; Cote & Bornstein, 2005). Therefore, the four indices were aggregated into mean standard scores, which resulted in eight dependent variables: mean z scores of child exploratory and symbolic play in the solitary play session, mean z scores of child exploratory and symbolic play in the joint play session that was child initiated or mother initiated, and mothers’ demonstrations of exploratory and symbolic play. In addition, for maternal solicitations of play, two indices of exploratory and symbolic play were developed: the raw frequency of play bouts that were exploratory or symbolic and the proportion of play bouts that were exploratory or symbolic. The two (raw and proportional) scores of frequency of play were then aggregated into two measures of maternal solicitations by taking the mean z scores separately for exploratory and symbolic play. This resulted in two additional dependent variables: maternal solicitations of exploratory and symbolic play.

Results

Examination of univariate and multivariate distributions of the dependent variables revealed that all were normally distributed. Because differences in girls’ and boys’ play have sometimes been reported (Bornstein, Haynes, et al., 1999; Tamis-LeMonda et al., 1992), we used child gender as a factor in the multivariate analyses of variance (MANOVAs). Furthermore, because child age differed among groups (Table 1) and we wanted to rule out child age as a possible confound (because older children are more likely than younger children to engage in symbolic play), we used child age as a covariate in all analyses. Wilks’s lambda is reported for all multivariate tests, and pairwise comparisons are t tests with Bonferroni’s correction. Because interaction effects supersede main effects, we report only interaction effects. Because of space limitations, we report only significant results; however, the tables contain all data—that is, means and standard deviations for each dependent variable controlled for child age presented separately by cultural background and child gender.

Child Solitary Play

We performed a repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) with two between-subjects factors, cultural background (Latino immigrant, Japanese immigrant, or European American) and child gender; one covariate (child age); and four dependent variables (child exploratory and symbolic play) to investigate cultural and gender differences in children’s engagement in exploratory and symbolic play when they played by themselves. There was a significant multivariate interaction of Play Type × Gender, $F(1, 107) = 4.57, p < .05, \eta^2_p = .04$. Univariate ANOVAs revealed that boys engaged in significantly more exploratory play ($M = .14, SD = .83$) and significantly less symbolic play ($M = -.14, SD = .91$) than did girls (exploratory, $M = -.19, SD = .83$; symbolic, $M = .20, SD = .92$); exploratory, $F(1, 107) = 4.51, p < .05, \eta^2_p = .04$; symbolic, $F(1, 107) = 3.84, p = .05, \eta^2_p = .04$. Neither the multivariate main effect of cultural background, nor any of the interactions involving cultural background, was significant. (See Table 2 for full data.)

We computed a post hoc power analysis to determine whether the sample size of 113 provided sufficient power to detect a medium-sized effect in an ANOVA design with two between-subjects factors with two and three levels and two dependent variables. With an effect size of .25 for between-subjects effects (Faul, Erdfelder, Lang, & Buchner, 2007), an alpha of .05, and a sample size of 113, the power estimate for this design was .99, indicating sufficient power to detect a medium or large Within × Between effect.

Child Joint Play

We performed a MANOVA with two between-subjects factors, cultural background (Latino immigrant, Japanese immigrant, or European American) and child gender; one covariate (child age); and four dependent variables (child-initiated exploratory and symbolic play, mother-initiated exploratory and symbolic play) to investigate cultural and gender differences in the kinds of play in which children engaged when they played with their mothers and whether play differed depending on who initiated play. A significant multivariate interaction of Cultural Background × Play Type × Initiator emerged, $F(2, 106) = 3.89, p < .05, \eta^2_p = .07$. Japanese immigrant children engaged in more exploratory play ($M = .41, SD = .73$) and less symbolic play ($M = -.39, SD = .83$) when they initiated the play interaction than when their mothers initiated play (maternal exploratory, $M = -.05, SD = .94$; symbolic, $M = .12, SD = .83$); exploratory, $F(1, 106) = 7.04, p < .01, \eta^2_p = .06$; symbolic, $F(1, 106) = 8.49, p < .01, \eta^2_p = .07$. Both Latino immigrant and Japanese immigrant children engaged in more exploratory than symbolic play when they initiated play: Latino immigrants, $F(1, 106) = 9.44, p < .01, \eta^2_p = .08$ (exploratory, $M = .46, SD = .75$; symbolic, $M = -.24, SD = .85$), and Japanese immigrants, $F(1, 106) = 12.32, \eta^2_p = .08$.

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Play type</th>
<th>Latino immigrant</th>
<th></th>
<th>Japanese immigrant</th>
<th></th>
<th>European American</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girls</td>
<td>Boys</td>
<td>Girls</td>
<td>Boys</td>
<td>Girls</td>
<td>Boys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exploratory play</td>
<td>-.28 (.67)</td>
<td>.06 (.72)</td>
<td>-.09 (.66)</td>
<td>.24 (.68)</td>
<td>-.21 (.68)</td>
<td>.12 (.69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbolic play</td>
<td>.24 (.74)</td>
<td>-.10 (.79)</td>
<td>.18 (.73)</td>
<td>-.16 (.75)</td>
<td>.20 (.75)</td>
<td>-.15 (.76)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Data are means (standard deviations), controlling for child age.
p = .001, $\eta^2_p = .10$ (means for Japanese immigrants are reported above). No (cultural) differences emerged with respect to whether mothers or children initiated play overall (Table 3).

**Mothers’ Play**

We performed a MANOVA with two between-subjects factors, cultural background (Latino immigrant, Japanese immigrant, or European American) and child gender; one covariate (child age); and four dependent variables (maternal demonstrations and solicitations of exploratory and symbolic play) to investigate cultural and gender differences in the modality that mothers used to encourage different types of play. There was a significant interaction of Cultural Background × Play Modality, $F(2, 108) = 5.54$, $p < .01$, $\eta^2_p = .09$. Although univariate tests revealed a significant difference across cultural groups for demonstrations (wherein Japanese and South American immigrant mothers demonstrated more than European American mothers), $F(2, 108) = 3.60$, $p < .05$, $\eta^2_p = .06$, pairwise comparisons did not reach statistical significance ($p < .05$). Univariate tests also showed that European American mothers solicited play significantly more ($M = .04, SD = .39$) than they demonstrated ($M = -.13, SD = .28$), $F(1, 108) = 7.55, p < .01$, $\eta^2_p = .07$ (Table 4).

We computed a post hoc power analysis to determine whether the sample size of 113 provided sufficient power to detect a medium-sized effect in a MANOVA design with two between-subjects factors (one factor having two levels and the other having three levels) and two within-subjects factors, each with two levels (i.e., four dependent variables). With an effect size of .25 for between-subjects effects (Faul et al., 2007), an alpha of .05, and a sample size of 113, the power estimate for this design was .84, indicating sufficient power to detect a medium or large Within × Between effect.

**Relations Between Child Solitary and Joint Play**

We performed Pearson correlations to explore relations between children’s play in the two play settings. As Table 5 shows, few relations between children’s play in the two play settings emerged.

**Discussion**

**Children’s Play**

Our findings support species-general developmental views of mother–child object and representational play (Piaget, 1951/1962) and also point to the existence of species-general relations in child–mother play interactions (Bornstein, 1995). Taken together, our findings of few cultural differences in children’s play, but differences in play type (exploratory or symbolic) depending on whether mothers or children initiate play, confirm previous reports that children’s play tends to be more sophisticated when their mothers encourage them than when children initiate play with their mothers or play alone (O’Connell & Bretherton, 1984; O’Reilly & Bornstein, 1993; Slade, 1987). Moreover, our results extend these findings to two immigrant samples. Developmentally, children first engage in exploratory play, and over the course of the 2nd year of life, as their representational capacities advance, they begin to engage in symbolic play (Piaget, 1951/1962). From an applied or clinical vantage, these results suggest that the play of Japanese and South American immigrant children is similar to that of European American children in the sense that it is more sophisticated when play is mother rather than child initiated and that by playing with their mothers, children’s play develops.

Also concerning the universality of play, child gender emerged as a significant factor. Regardless of their culture, boys engaged in significantly more exploratory and less symbolic play than did girls when they played by themselves (Lyttton & Romney, 1991). These results confirm previous research on gender differences in children’s play that was conducted with European Americans, Latino families in Argentina, and dyads in Japan (Bornstein, Haynes, et al., 1999; Tamis-LeMonda et al., 1992). It is noteworthy that these gender differences were found only for children’s

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent variable</th>
<th>Latino immigrant</th>
<th>Japanese immigrant</th>
<th>European American</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girls</td>
<td>Boys</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Girls</td>
<td>Boys</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child initiated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exploratory play</td>
<td>.33 (.57)</td>
<td>.60 (.62)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbolic play</td>
<td>−.23 (.65)</td>
<td>−.26 (.71)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother initiated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exploratory play</td>
<td>.21 (.73)</td>
<td>.24 (.79)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbolic play</td>
<td>−.13 (.65)</td>
<td>−.18 (.70)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Data are means (standard deviations), controlling for child age.
solitary play and not in child–mother joint play. It could be that girls, on average, experience more early caregiving socialization regarding interpersonal skills than do boys or that girls are more susceptible to early caregiving socialization regarding interpersonal skills than are boys (Maccoby, 1988).

We found few relations between child exploratory play in the solitary and joint play sessions and few relations between child symbolic play in the two play sessions. This suggests that across cultures, mothers influence their children’s play and that children play differently when their mothers are involved than when they play alone.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4</th>
<th>Cultural and Gender Differences in Mothers’ Play</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dependent variable</strong></td>
<td><strong>Latino immigrant</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Girls</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demonstrations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exploratory play</td>
<td>.13 (.60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbolic play</td>
<td>−.12 (.63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solicitations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exploratory play</td>
<td>.20 (.75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbolic play</td>
<td>−.11 (.66)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note.* Data are Pearson correlations.

Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 5</th>
<th>Relations Between Children’s Play in the Two Play Situations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Joint play</strong></td>
<td><strong>Solitary play</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Exploratory</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child-initiated exploratory</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latino immigrant</td>
<td>.33*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese immigrant</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European American</td>
<td>.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother-initiated exploratory</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latino immigrant</td>
<td>.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese immigrant</td>
<td>−.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European American</td>
<td>.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child-initiated and mother-initiated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>collapsed, exploratory</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latino immigrant</td>
<td>.29†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese immigrant</td>
<td>−.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European American</td>
<td>.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child-initiated symbolic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latino immigrant</td>
<td>.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese immigrant</td>
<td>.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European American</td>
<td>.30†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother-initiated symbolic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latino immigrant</td>
<td>.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese immigrant</td>
<td>−.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European American</td>
<td>.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child-initiated and mother-initiated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>collapsed, symbolic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latino immigrant</td>
<td>.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese immigrant</td>
<td>−.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European American</td>
<td>.31†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note.* Data are Pearson correlations.

Mothers’ Play

European American mothers engaged in significantly more play solicitations than demonstrations (regardless of whether their play was exploratory or symbolic). This finding is consistent with previous research that has shown European American mothers stress verbal interactions (Bornstein, Tamis-LeMonda, & Haynes, 1999; Caudill & Schooler, 1973), although not necessarily in the play setting. Again, the parenting styles of European American mothers appear to differ from those of immigrant mothers, as do styles of family interaction and expectation.

Relations Between Child and Mother Play

As expected, each of the cultural groups evidenced a consistent pattern of interrelations between child and mother play. For example, children’s exploratory play (whether it was child initiated or mother initiated) was significantly positively related to both maternal demonstrations and solicitations of exploratory play in all three cultural groups. These concordances indicate that children and mothers in each of these cultural groups coordinate in their play. Mothers who played more in pretense at 13 and 20 months had children who played more in pretense (Vibbert & Bornstein, 1989). Relations between children’s and mothers' exploratory and symbolic play have also been found in Argentine, Japanese, and European American families (Bornstein, Haynes, et al., 1999; Tamis-LeMonda et al., 1992). These findings broaden those results to exploratory play and also to acculturating groups.

Although the direction of effect in these correlational results is not clear, research has shown that when mothers encourage children’s play by demonstrating or soliciting, children’s play is more sophisticated than when children initiate play with their mothers or play alone (Bornstein & O’Reilly, 1993; O’Connell & Bretherton, 1984; Slade, 1987). Children are likely taught to play in different ways by their mothers and in ways valued by the culture in which their mother was reared. The finding that when boys played alone, they engaged in more exploratory than symbolic play but that this gender difference was absent when they played with their mothers lends further support to this conclusion. From a clinical or applied point of view, these findings suggest that regardless of ethnicity, parents might foster the...
character and quality of their children’s cognitive growth by structuring their play interactions according to those characteristics they hope to foster in their children. Parental goals should always be taken into account when assessing immigrants’ parenting behavior.

Conclusions

With respect to child development, parenting, and acculturation, our study suggests that immigrant samples of children and their mothers in the United States tend to resemble each other and European American children and mothers in their culture of destination. This suggests that there may be cultural universals in the expression of (at least some) developmentally important processes, such as the development of child and mother play. Future research should further explore the extent to which play and other important developmental processes are culturally universal or culture specific. For clinicians and others who work with immigrant parents and children, our results point to realms of child growth, parenting, and family function that require special attention and cultural sensitivity.
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