Cognitive-Behavioral Stress Management Reverses Anxiety-Related Leukocyte Transcriptional Dynamics


Background: Chronic threat and anxiety are associated with pro-inflammatory transcriptional profiles in circulating leukocytes, but the causal direction of that relationship has not been established. This study tested whether a cognitive-behavioral stress management (CBSM) intervention targeting negative affect and cognition might counteract anxiety-related transcriptional alterations in people confronting a major medical threat.

Methods: One hundred ninety-nine women undergoing primary treatment of stage 0–III breast cancer were randomized to a 10-week CBSM protocol or an active control condition. Seventy-nine provided peripheral blood leukocyte samples for genome-wide transcriptional profiling and bioinformatic analyses at baseline, 6-month, and 12-month follow-ups.

Results: Baseline negative affect was associated with >50% differential expression of 201 leukocyte transcripts, including upregulated expression of pro-inflammatory and metastasis-related genes. CBSM altered leukocyte expression of 91 genes by >50% at follow-up (group × time interaction), including downregulation of pro-inflammatory and metastasis-related genes and upregulation of type I interferon response genes. Promoter-based bioinformatic analyses implicated decreased activity of NF-κB/Rel and GATA family transcription factors and increased activity of interferon response factors and the glucocorticoid receptor as potential mediators of CBSM-induced transcriptional alterations.

Conclusions: In early-stage breast cancer patients, a 10-week CBSM intervention can reverse anxiety-related upregulation of pro-inflammatory gene expression in circulating leukocytes. These findings clarify the molecular signaling pathways by which behavioral interventions can influence physical health and alter peripheral inflammatory processes that may reciprocally affect brain affective and cognitive processes.
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Research in social genomics has linked extended periods of significant life adversity to alterations in the gene transcriptional programs expressed under basal conditions in circulating immune cells (1–4). Across a variety of different threat- and anxiety-related experiences, such as imminent bereavement (5), posttraumatic stress disorder (6), chronic loneliness (7,8), significant life adversity (9), and low socioeconomic status (10–12), genome-wide transcriptional profiling of leukocytes has shown a common pattern of increased expression of pro-inflammatory genes accompanied by a focal suppression of genes involved in interferon-mediated innate antiviral responses and immunoglobulin G production (1,4,8). Promoter-based bioinformatic analyses have implicated several specific transcription factors (TFs) as potential mediators of this transcriptional shift, including activation of pro-inflammatory NF-κB/Rel-family TFs (6,7,11) and GATA-family TFs (9), decreased activity of interferon response factors (IRFs) (7), and functional desensitization of the glucocorticoid receptor (GR) (6,7,11), which would otherwise antagonize NF-κB/Rel factors and reduce inflammation (13). Several studies suggest that adversity-related alterations in the circulating leukocyte transcriptome are more closely linked to subjective experiences of threat or anxiety than they are to objective external conditions such as social network density or socioeconomic status (7,8,12).

The causal relationship between experienced threat or anxiety and leukocyte transcriptional remodeling remains unclear because existing analyses generally involve correlational study designs. Exogenously triggered leukocyte transcriptional alterations could potentially induce experiences of anxiety, depression, or other negative affective states via cytokine effects on the brain (4,14–18). However, experimental studies have also shown that negative affective states can alter leukocyte gene expression via signals from the sympathetic nervous system (SNS) and hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis (2,4,19,20). In the present study, we sought to determine whether an experimental intervention that directly targets anxiety-related cognitive and behavioral processes might reverse the pattern of leukocyte transcriptional alterations previously observed in people experiencing significant life adversity (4,5,7,9,11,21).

Diagnosis with breast cancer generally evokes substantial health-related anxiety, even among women with early-stage non-metastatic disease for whom the odds of survival are high (22,23). In women undergoing primary treatment for stage 0–III breast cancer, cognitive-behavioral stress management (CBSM) (24,25) has been found to reduce anxiety-related symptoms and general negative affect (26) and increase positive affect (27). In these and other studies, CBSM-induced psychological effects have also been associated with peripheral physiologic alterations that may either induce or reflect changes in gene expression, including changes in circulating levels of cortisol and catecholamines (28,29), circulating...
numbers of specific leukocyte subsets (28,30), cytokine production by T lymphocytes (29), and plasma human immunodeficiency virus type 1 viral load (31). Given the established effects of CBSM in reducing negative affect and cognition and evidence linking threat/anxiety-related negative affect to immunologic processes, this study tested the hypothesis that CBSM might reverse previously observed anxiety-related transcriptional alterations in circulating immune cells. In particular, we hypothesized that leukocytes from CBSM-treated breast cancer patients would show reduced expression of pro-inflammatory gene programs and enhanced expression of innate antiviral gene programs relative to control group patients (i.e., patterns opposite those previously linked to experienced threat/anxiety) (4). We also tested whether those transcriptional dynamics might be associated with reversal of the specific pattern of bioinformatically inferred TF activation previously linked to anxiety-related transcriptional alterations (i.e., increased activity of NF-κB/Rel- and GATA-family TFs and decreased activity of IRFs and the GR) (13,32).

Methods and Materials

CBSM Randomized Controlled Trial

Data came from a study of 199 stage 0–III breast cancer patients (80% stage I–II) who were recruited 4 to 8 weeks after primary surgery, but before initiation of adjuvant therapy, and randomized to either 1) a 10-week CBSM intervention focusing on anxiety reduction, cognitive restructuring, and coping skills; or 2) an active control condition, as previously described (25–27,29) (National Institutes of Health Clinical Trial NCT01422551). At baseline, 6 months, and 12 months post-randomization, 79 participants provided venous blood samples from which 3–10 peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) were isolated as previously described (29) and serum cortisol concentrations were measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (29) (CONSORT diagram provided as Figure S1 in Supplement 1). All research was approved by the Institutional Review Board at the University of Miami.

Demographic, Tumor, and Treatment Characteristics

As previously described (25–27,29), participant age, annual household income, and ethnicity were assessed by standard self-report instruments, and tumor characteristics (stage, hormone receptor status, number of involved lymph nodes), cancer treatment parameters (surgery type, elapsed time since surgery, radiation therapy, radiation treatment within the 3 weeks before each study visit, chemotherapy, chemotherapy treatment within 3 weeks before each study visit, hormone therapy), and other medical therapies (including use of pain medications, anxiolytics, and antidepressants before each study visit) were derived from patient reports and medical records.

Negative and Positive Affect

Intervention effects on negative and positive affect were assessed by subscales from the Affects Balance Scale (ABS) (33) with psychometric properties in this sample previously reported (26,27) and results summarized for the present analyses by a composite affect balance score computed as the difference between positive and negative affect subscale scores (i.e., positive − negative). CBSM effects on time trajectories of ABS positive affect, negative affect, and composite affect balance were analyzed in a 2 (group: CBSM vs. control) × 3 (time: baseline, 6-month, and 12-month follow-up) mixed effect linear model analysis treating time as a repeated measure and summarizing time trajectories by a linear trend score (SAS PROC MIXED; SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina) (34). Analyses were conducted on an intent-to-treat basis, including all available data (including observations for individuals missing data at other follow-up time points).

Gene Expression Profiling and Bioinformatic Analysis

Detailed methods for gene expression profiling and bioinformatic analysis are presented in Supplement 1. Briefly, RNA was extracted from PBMC, quality assured for mass and integrity, and subject to genome-wide transcriptional profiling using Illumina Human HT-12 v3 Expression BeadChips (Illumina Inc., San Diego, California) with quantile normalization (35) as previously described (7,9). Data are deposited as National Center for Biotechnology Information Gene Expression Omnibus series GSE24079. Initial analysis of baseline data identified genes showing >50% differential expression across the general range of ABS composite scores (i.e., ±2 SD relative to the mean value) after control for age, race (white vs. nonwhite), and tumor stage, estrogen receptor (ER), and progesterone receptor (PR) status. Subsequent primary analyses identified longitudinal effects of CBSM on expression of each analyzed transcript in a 2 (group: CBSM vs. control) × 3 (time: baseline, 6-month, and 12-month follow-up) factorial design, treating time as a repeated measure and controlling for individual differences in age, race, tumor stage, ER status, PR status, treatment with chemotherapy, and treatment with radiation. All analyses were conducted on an intent-to-treat basis using mixed effect linear models (34). Genes showing >50% difference across groups in the magnitude of change over time (contrast: average of 6-month and 12-month follow-ups − baseline) were identified as differentially expressed (corresponding to a false discovery rate ≤5%) (36). Their functional characteristics were identified by GOstat (http://gostat.wehi.edu.au/) Gene Ontology analysis (37) and their potential regulation by specific transcription factors was inferred from TELIS (http://www.telis.ucla.edu/) bioinformatic analysis of transcription factor-binding motifs in gene promoters (38), using TRANSFAC (http://www.gene-regulation.com/pub/databases.html) position-specific weight matrices (39), as previously described (7,9). Analyses of GR signaling controlled for concurrent serum cortisol concentrations (7) to assess GR signal transduction efficiency above and beyond the effects of CBSM in altering glucocorticoid ligand availability (29). Ancillary analyses also controlled for prevalence of lymphocyte subsets as assessed by flow cytometry (29). Transcript origin analysis (8) was employed to identify the specific leukocyte subsets predominately mediating CBSM effects on the overall PBMC pool transcriptome.

Twelve transcripts identified as differentially expressed by microarray analysis at either 6-month follow-up, 12-month follow-up, or on average across both follow-ups were re-verified using quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) as detailed in Supplement 1. Selected genes identified by microarray as differentially expressed only at 6-month or 12-month follow-ups were evaluated by RT-PCR to determine whether microarray assays may have underestimated true differences in gene expression (40).

Results

Patient characteristics and CBSM intervention effects on psychological outcomes and cortisol levels have been previously reported for this study (26,27,29). Briefly, in this sample of stage 0–III breast cancer patients recruited after surgery but before the initiation of adjuvant therapy, the 10-week CBSM intervention significantly reduced general anxiety-related symptoms, negative affect, and intrusive thoughts about breast cancer (26); increased positive affect (27); reduced circulating cortisol levels (29); and increased stimulated production of interleukin 2 and interferon-γ over a 12-month follow-up in comparison with the active contact control...
group (29). Within the overall study cohort, 79 patients volunteered for an intensive immunologic study and provided sufficient PBMC samples for genome-wide transcriptional profiling at baseline and at 6-month and/or 12-month follow-ups (CBSM n = 45, control n = 34; CONSORT diagram in Figure S1 in Supplement 1). CBSM group participants were more likely to provide PBMC samples (48.9%) than were control group participants (31.8%, difference p = .014), but the resulting groups of CBSM and control group patients did not differ in demographic characteristics, tumor characteristics, treatment parameters (surgery type, radiation, chemotherapy, hormone treatment), or baseline affective state (Table 1). Peripheral blood mononuclear cell contributors were representative of the total study sample on all demographic, tumor, and treatment-related parameters analyzed (all p ≥ .18), except for exposure to radiation treatment, which was less prevalent among PBMC contributors (45%) than in the total sample (60%, difference p = .043) and CBSM versus control group assignment as noted above. Peripheral blood mononuclear cell contributors showed the same general profile of affective change over time as previously reported for the total study cohort (26,27) (group × time interaction, p = .0042), with the CBSM-treated group showing increased positive affect (linear time trend over 12 months: mean 6.8 ± standard error 2.36 ABS score units, p = .0055), decreased negative affect (−8.22 ± 2.08, p = .0003), and a net positive trend in composite affect balance scores (17.54 ± 4.12, p < .0001), whereas control group participants showed negligible change over time on each dimension (positive affect: −.16 ± 1.94, p = .936; negative affect: −4.64 ± 3.94, p = .245; overall affect balance: 1.00 ± 3.62, p = .784).

In analyses relating baseline affective state to PBMC gene expression, genome-wide transcriptional profiling identified 201 named human genes showing >50% difference in expression across the ±2 SD range of ABS composite scores at study entry (Table S1 in Supplement 1). One hundred seventy-seven genes were upregulated in association with negative affect, including genes encoding pro-inflammatory cytokines (IL1A, IL1B, IL6, TNF), the prostaglandin-synthesis enzyme COX2 (PTGS2), the oxidative stress response factor superoxide dismutase 2 (SOD2), inflammatory chemokines and related receptors (CCL3, CCL3L1, CCL4L2, CCL7, CCL20, CXCL9, CXCL10, CXCR6, CXCR7), and transcripts involved in tissue remodeling and epithelial-mesenchymal transition (LMNA, MMP9). Gene Ontology analyses confirmed that negative affect-linked transcripts were disproportionately involved in pro-inflammatory cytokine function (GO:0006954; GO:0005125; both p < .0001) and wound healing (GO:0009611; p < .0001).

To determine whether the CBSM intervention might reverse the pro-inflammatory transcriptional skew associated with significant life adversity in this sample and previous studies (5,7,9,11,21), we carried out Gene Ontology analyses of all 91 named human genes that showed >50% difference between CBSM versus control groups in the magnitude of change in transcript abundance from baseline to follow-up (i.e., group × time interaction, controlling for patient age, race, disease stage [0–III], hormone receptor status [ER+/−, PR+/−] and treatment (chemotherapy, radiation, hormone therapy); genes are listed in Table S2 in Supplement 1, with additional cross-sectional differences at each follow-up time point listed in Tables S3 and S4 in Supplement 1. Sixty-two transcripts showed significantly greater downregulation in CBSM-treated patients relative to control subjects, including genes encoding pro-inflammatory cytokines (IL1A, IL1B, IL6), the prostaglandin-synthesis enzyme COX2 (PTGS2), inflammatory chemokines and their receptors (CCL2, CCL3, CCL3L1, CCL4L1, CCL4L2, CCL7, CCL20, CXCL9, CXCL10, CXCR6, CXCR7), and mediators of tissue remodeling and epithelial-mesenchymal transition (G0S2, LMNA, MMP9, OSM). Gene Ontology analyses confirmed that CBSM-downregulated genes were characterized by involvement in pro-inflammatory cytokine activity (GO:0006954; GO:0005125; both p < .0001) and wound healing (GO:0009611; p < .0001). Thirty-one (50%) of the total 62 CBSM-downregulated transcripts also appeared on the list of genes upregulated in association with negative affect at baseline (greater than the <1% overlap expected by chance; binomial p < .0001). Negative affect-related transcripts that were downregulated by CBSM included pro-inflammatory cytokines (IL1A, IL1B, IL6), COX2 (PTGS2), chemokines and related receptors (CCL3, CCL7, CCL20, CCL3L1, CCL4L2, CXCR7), and mediators of wound healing and epithelial-mesenchymal transition (LMNA, MMP9).

Twenty-nine genes showed significantly greater upregulation over time in CBSM-treated patients versus control patients, including transcripts involved in type I interferon response (IFIT1, IFIT2, IFIT3, IFIT4, IFI44L, ISG15, MX2, OAS2, OAS3), type II interferon signaling (IFNG), and interferon signal transduction (STAT1, STAT2). Gene Ontology analyses confirmed that the most prominent functional characteristic of CBSM-upregulated genes involved their role in...
CBSM dose variation available to resolve dose dependence.

To determine whether CBSM-induced transcriptional alterations might be structured by specific TFs previously implicated in leukocyte transcriptional responses to threat and anxiety, we carried out TELiS bioinformatic analyses of transcription factor-binding motif distributions within the promoters of differentially expressed genes. Promoters of CBSM-upregulated genes showed a significant overrepresentation of DNA response elements for IRF transcription factors and underrepresentation of response elements for NF-κB/Rel- and GATA-family TFs (Figure 1). Parallel analyses of gene transcription controlling for concurrent serum cortisol levels showed an overrepresentation of GR response elements in the promoters of CBSM-upregulated genes (Figure 1). Differential transcription of genes bearing GR response elements was not attributable to differential expression of the NR3C1 gene encoding the GR, which showed no substantial variation in transcript levels across groups, time points, or their interaction (all differences ≤ 5%, all p > .20).

Transcript origin analyses (8) identified monocytes and plasmacytoid dendritic cells (pDCs) as cellular contexts for CBSM-induced transcriptional changes (Figure 2), with upregulated genes deriving predominately from monocytes (p = .0128) and downregulated transcripts associated with both monocytes (p < .0001) and pDCs (p = .0008).

Ancillary analyses examined the possibility that CBSM-related differences in the aggregate leukocyte transcriptome might stem from redistribution of leukocyte subsets within the PBMC population (41). Flow cytometry showed no difference in the prevalence of CD19+ B lymphocytes, CD4+ T lymphocytes, CD8+ T lymphocytes, or CD56+ natural killer cells within the assayed PBMC samples (all differences ≤ 5%, all p > .20; no flow cytometry analyses of monocyte or pDC prevalence were available), and controlling for variations in leukocyte subset prevalence continued to show CBSM-induced increases in the expression of interferon-related transcripts and decreases expression of pro-inflammatory genes.

Interferon suppression and pro-inflammatory transcriptional activation also continued to emerge in ancillary analyses that controlled for additional treatment-related variables, including recent exposure to chemotherapy or radiation (within 3 weeks before each study visit), primary surgery type (lumpectomy, mastectomy, or bilateral mastectomy), and the use of pain medications, anxiolytics, or antidepressants (downregulation of pro-inflammatory genes, GO:0006954; GO:0009615; both p < .0001; upregulation of innate antiviral response genes, GO:0009615; p < .0001).

Discussion

The results of this study link negative affective states to increased leukocyte expression of pro-inflammatory genes in individuals confronting significant life adversity (∼5–8, 10,11), and they show that a CBSM intervention targeting anxiety-related affective and behavioral processes can counteract that transcriptional bias by reducing expression of pro-inflammatory and metastasis-related genes and increasing expression of interferon-related genes. Among 79 stage 0–III breast cancer patients randomized to either a 10-week CBSM intervention or an active contact control condition, 6-month and 12-month follow-up assessment showed reduced expression of genes encoding pro-inflammatory cytokines and increased expression of genes encoding both type I and type II interferons in PBMC from CBSM-treated patients. These effects emerged from a randomized intervention trial analyzed by intent-to-treat and controlling for any potential confounding effects of patient demographic, tumor, or treatment-related characteristics. These CBSM-induced longitudinal transcriptional alterations provide the first indication that psychological interventions can causally change the basal leukocyte transcriptome, and they implicate threat- and anxiety-related processes as potential central nervous system (CNS) mediators of those effects (1,2). These transcriptional alterations could have significant implications for both cancer-related and psychological outcomes.
lated disease processes (20) and psychological adaptation to the significant threat and anxiety provoked by breast cancer diagnosis and treatment (22,23).

The common pattern of pro-inflammatory transcriptional bias observed in this study of breast cancer patients and previous studies of different populations confronting other major life adversities (5–8,10,11) suggests that there may exist a conserved transcriptional response to adversity (CTRA) that is mediated by the capacity of diverse challenges to activate common psychological reactions (e.g., threat/anxiety) and associated neural and endocrine responses (e.g., SNS and hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis) that ultimately modulate leukocyte gene expression (4). In several previous studies, pro-inflammatory alterations in the basal leukocyte transcriptome were accompanied by selective suppression of type I interferon-related genes (5,7,8,11). This study found no significant interferon suppression associated with baseline negative affect, but it did identify CBSM-induced upregulation of type I interferon-related gene expression over follow-up concurrent with downregulation of pro-inflammatory cytokines. Thus, experienced threat/anxiety appears to shift the leukocyte basal transcriptional equilibrium away from interferon-related antiviral gene modules in favor of pro-inflammatory cytokines (4). It is unclear why the pro-inflammatory transcriptional skew associated with negative affect at baseline was not accompanied by a detectable suppression of type I interferon-related genes. It is possible that such a relationship does generally exist but was not evident due to affective range restriction at baseline (i.e., all participants confronted a significant life adversity in recent breast cancer diagnosis, and thus few or none may have experienced positive/nonanxious affect levels sufficient to reveal associations with type I interferon signaling) and only emerged after the CBSM intervention induced significant affective differences by 6-month and 12-month follow-ups.

Three other features of these data are also consistent with the hypothesis that CBSM effects on the leukocyte transcriptome stem from the reversal of a CNS-mediated CTRA. First, the genes downregulated by CBSM disproportionately included transcripts that were also upregulated in relationship to negative affect at baseline (enriched > 100-fold relative to the overlap expected by chance). Second, bioinformatic inferences of the specific cell types mediating CBSM transcriptional alterations implicated the same myeloid lineage antigen presenting cells (monocytes and pDCs) linked to CTRA dynamics in previous studies (8). The simultaneous upregulation and downregulation of distinct groups of monocyte-related genes is consistent with experimental animal studies documenting effects of chronic threat on monocyte subset differentiation (32,42). Third, bioinformatic inferences of TF activity associated with CBSM-induced transcriptional alterations mirror those previously linked to CTRA dynamics. In particular, activation of GATA- and NF-κB/Rel family TFs have been linked to life adversity and SNS signaling (5–7,9,11,21,43), and the present analyses suggest reductions in their activity following CBSM. The present analyses also indicate CBSM-induced activation of IRF-family TFs and the GR, both of which are inhibited by SNS signaling (42,44) and were previously implicated in CTRA-related transcriptional downregulation (5,7,9,11,21). CBSM increased the expression of genes bearing GR response elements, despite the fact that circulating cortisol levels were reduced in CBSM-treated patients relative to control subjects (29). These effects also emerged despite statistical control for individual differences in circulating cortisol levels and in the absence of any differential expression of the NR3C1 gene encoding the GR. Such findings are consistent with the hypothesis that CBSM affects GR target gene expression primarily by enhancing GR functional sensitivity (i.e., reversing threat-induced GR desensitization) (5,11,32,45,46), with such stimulatory effects outweighing the simultaneous effects of reduced circulating GR ligand levels (29).

Although the present results are consistent with the theorized role of these TFs in mediating the leukocyte CTRA and its reversal by CBSM, it is important to note that the bioinformatic analyses presented here represent indirect inferences of TF activity based on promoter sequence associations and cannot definitively establish that these TFs are causally responsible for the observed transcriptional alterations.

Beyond demonstrating a general influence of cognitive/behavioral processes on the basal transcriptional stance of circulating immune cells in people confronting significant life threat (4), the present results may have specific health implications for women with breast cancer (20). CBSM-induced downregulation of pro-inflammatory cytokine genes (e.g., IL1A, IL1B, IL6) and bioinformatic indications of NF-κB/Rel activity are notable because chronic inflammation has been implicated in breast cancer progression and recurrence (47,48). CBSM also downregulated expression of specific genes known to play a role in cancer progression (e.g., those involved in myeloid cell induction of the metastasis-promoting epithelial-mesenchymal transition) (49), while enhancing expression of type I interferon-related genes that are associated with reduced breast cancer progression (50–52). Those findings provide a molecular framework for understanding both the general link between psychological processes and cancer progression (20,24) and salutary effects of cognitive-behavioral interventions on breast cancer clinical disease progression (53,54).

The present findings from a randomized intervention trial show that psychological interventions can exert sustained effects on leukocyte gene expression profiles, but the scope of conclusions that can be drawn from this study are limited in several important respects. In addition to causal effects of cognitive-behavioral processes on leukocyte transcriptional programs, pro-inflammatory cytokines may also signal to the brain to causally affect neural processes on leukocyte transcriptional programs, pro-inflammatory cytokines may also signal to the brain to causally affect neural processes. Thus, inducing a bi-directional regulatory circuit that could propagate associations between inflammation and CNS-mediated threat or anxiety processes (4,55). Several key gene transcriptional dynamics identified in the present gene expression studies were confirmed in RT-PCR assays of messenger RNA expression and/or previous studies of protein expression (e.g., interferon-γ production) (29), but future studies will be required to confirm many of the other transcriptional findings and assess their implications within the tumor microenvironment (20). The clinical health impact of the observed leukocyte transcriptional dynamics also requires further definition (e.g., assessing effects on progression-free or total survival times). However, the present molecular findings are consistent with other recent studies documenting improved survival and reduced disease recurrence in nonmetastatic breast cancer patients randomized to a broadly similar cognitive-behavioral intervention (53,54). Although these data document longitudinal changes in leukocyte gene expression for 6 to 12 months after a 10-week CBSM intervention, the ultimate duration of transcriptional impact remains to be established, as do other potential limiting conditions. In particular, CBSM intervention adherence rates were quite high in this study, and it is therefore difficult to determine whether transcriptional effects are dose-dependent on intervention magnitude/adherence. Finally, the present results emerged in a mid- to high-income sample of early-stage breast cancer patients, and it is unclear whether similar results would occur in other populations, disease settings, or types of life adversity.

This study demonstrates that a psychologically targeted intervention delivered in the anxiety-provoking context of primary
breast cancer treatment can reverse some of the major changes in immune system gene expression previously observed in people confronting significant life adversity (1,2). These findings provide a molecular framework for understanding the impact of behaviorally targeted interventions on human immune function, and they begin the process of mapping specific biological pathways by which those dynamics might potentially alter the course of somatic diseases such as breast cancer (56,57) and reciprocally feed back to influence threat- and anxiety-related brain processes (4,15,55).
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